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**Team Charge**

The Institutional Research/Assessment Planning Team is specifically charged with:

- Inventorying the activities in each IR office to determine overlap/commonality of tasks, as well as identifying those activities that are unique, yet essential, to individual campuses.
- Identify opportunities to have common activities done more efficiently for all institutions at the same time by functional/excellence teams assigned to areas of specialty.
- Ascertain staff skills/strengths available throughout the system.
- Identify technology, training support and other resources that may be needed to facilitate streamlining processes.
- Develop a hybrid organizational model, a structure that incorporates excellence teams, but allows IR professionals to continue to deliver service associated with the unique needs of their specific campus.
Meeting Notes

- The draft notes from the 5/23/17 meeting were approved with two revisions.

- The team reviewed the inventory/tracking document submitted by CCSU as a possible viable template for the team versus the inventory documents submitted by Manchester CC and Three Rivers CC with slightly different formatting.

- The template was discussed at length:
  - List should include ad-hoc requests as well as internal requests which are specific to the campus and specific to the system office.
  - Who are the customers of our deliverables?
  - In many instances the “behind the scenes” work of answering data requests is not reflected.
  - The more detail we can provide the better.
  - Categorize common activities.
  - How many common activities can be centralized?
  - IR / Assessment functions overlap even though their functions differ.
  - Identify what our IT needs are.
  - Determine how much time is spent on campus specific ad-hoc requests vs. other requests.
  - Determine the different “activity buckets” to be used, e.g. Data Governance, Reporting, Assessment, Academic Excellence/Quality
  - Should we include “required external reporting” and “non-required external reporting”?
  - Should we include the time involved in meeting data requests?
  - Discussion on whether to only list this past year’s activities or record those activities that are necessary, but only occur at intervals longer than one year, for instance. NSSE, CCSSE/SENSE.
  - There is a great need for a data warehouse.
  - Based on the suggestions and discussions, Bill Gammell will develop a template for the Team to review. It will be sent to the Team electronically before the next meeting.

- Development of Function Teams was discussed:
  - e.g. Analytic, Data Governance, Assessment, Reporting (IPEDS, NEASC), Enrollment/Retention.

- The next IR/Assessment Planning Team meeting is Tuesday, June 20th, 10 am to 12 pm.