RESOLUTION

concerning

INTEGRATION OF THE STATE LIBRARY AND THE LIBRARIES OF

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT AND THE CONNECTICUT STATE COLLEGES

September 9, 1977

WHEREAS, The Coordinating Council composed of Trustees for the University of Connecticut and Trustees from the Connecticut State Colleges, is working to improve the coordination between the University of Connecticut's Library and those of the Connecticut State Colleges, and

WHEREAS, The University of Connecticut has set aside three thousand ($3000) dollars to help pay for a consultant to review steps that may be taken to achieve better integration of the State Library and the libraries of the University and the Colleges, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Trustees for the Connecticut State Colleges authorize the expenditure of three thousand ($3000) dollars for the purpose of employing a consultant to aid in establishing better coordination and integration of the said libraries and that the Executive Director is empowered to obtain the funds for this purpose from the budgets of each of the Connecticut State Colleges on a pro-rata basis.

A Certified True Copy:

James A. Frost
Executive Director
June 27, 1977

Mr. Richard Akeroyd  
State Library  
State House  
231 Capitol Ave.  
Hartford, Ct. 06115

Dear Dick:

This is to at least semi-officially notify you that we do wish to pursue the question of working with the State Library to employ a consultant to review steps that the State Library, the University of Connecticut, and the State College Libraries might take to achieve better integration, through technology, with each other and as part of the emerging library bibliographic component of the national library network. I believe that we can commit $3,000 from our budget for this purpose and I am still hopeful that the State College Libraries will be able to commit a like amount.

It seems to me that there are several next steps to be taken which may require a meeting unless you wish to undertake them through the State Library or have me undertake them. Those steps include: (1) securing commitment from the State College Libraries; (2) defining in writing the scope of the proposed consultation; (3) determining possible consultants; (4) selecting the consultant; (5) processing the necessary paperwork. In addition, we need to consider the question of what groups we involve in the process and how. My own feeling is that we ought to define the scope of the project and to let the consultant know what groups may be interested but that since the emphasis is likely to be technological, we need not officially involve all possible groups and interests in the initial stages. Rather I would prefer for the report to be available for widespread discussion before any possible action is taken.
Would you please let me know how you think we might proceed?

Sincerely,

Norman D. Stevens
University Librarian

NDS:bt
cc: K.G. Wilson
    O. Hayes

229 1607 B.O.T.

8/8/77 Call from Norman - wants information by 8/10/77
State library still interested in pursuing the objective
to check with B.O.T on availability of money